

## Air Quality Permitting Technical analysis

March 5, 2003

Tier II Operating Permit No. T2-020425

Lamb Weston Inc. Twin Falls, Idaho

AIRS Facility No. 083-00062

Prepared by:

Harbi Elshafei Permit Writer

Air Quality Division

FINAL REVISED TIER II OPERATING PERMIT

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACI	RONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE	.3
1.	PURPOSE	.4
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	.4
3.	FACILITY DESCRIPTION	.4
4.	SUMMARY OF EVENTS	.4
5.	PERMIT HISTORY	.4
6.	TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	.4
7.	PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	.5
8.	AIRS INFORMATION	.5
9.	FEES	.5
10.	RECOMMENDATIONS	.5
	APPENDIX	

## ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURE

AFS AIRS Facility Subsystem

AIRS Aerometric Information Retrieval System

AQCR Air Quality Control Region

CO carbon monoxide

Department of Environmental Quality

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

IDAPA a numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in

accordance with the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act

LW Lamb Weston Inc.

NO<sub>X</sub> nitrogen oxides

PM<sub>10</sub> particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 micrometers or less

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

PTC permit to construct

SIP State Implementation Plan

SO<sub>2</sub> sulfur dioxide

T/yr tons-per-year

UTM Universal Transverse Mercator

VOC volatile organic compound

## 1. PURPOSE

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01, Section 404.04, *Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho*. This technical memorandum is an addendum to the Departments May 22, 2002 technical memorandum. For reference, the May 22, 2002 technical memorandum is included as the appendix of this document.

## 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Lamb Weston, Inc. (LW) proposes to revise their May 24, 2002 Tier II operating permit by changing the due dates of the reporting requirements in Permit Conditions 2.10 and 2.15. Specifically, LW proposes to change the due dates from June 30 and December 31 of each year to January 31 and July 31 of each year. This change makes the due date for all required reporting the same for EPA and the Department.

This permitting action does not result in the increase of any regulated air pollutant; therefore, the revised permit does not require an opportunity for public comment as described by IDAPA 58.01.01.404.04 and 404.01.c.

## 3. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Lamb Weston, Inc. processes raw potatoes into frozen, fried, hash brown, mashed, and special potato products for consumer sales.

## 4. SUMMARY OF EVENTS

December 12, 2002 The Department received an application from LW to revise their May 24, 2002 Tier II operating permit.

February 3, 2003 The Tier II operating permit application was determined complete.

## 5. PERMIT HISTORY

October 9, 2002 LW was issued a PTC exemption concurrence for an air-handling unit.

May 24, 2002 LW was issued Tier II operating permit No. 083-00062 that replaced Tier II operating permit No. 083-00062 issued October 17, 2000.

### TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

## Emission Estimates

This project is to revise the reporting requirements. No emissions were estimated.

## Modeling

No modeling was required for this project.

## Area Classification

The facility is located in Twin Falls County, which is located in AQCR 63, UTM Zone 11. The area is classified as attainment or unclassifiable for all criteria air pollutants.

## Facility Classification

The facility is classified as a synthetic minor facility because its potential to emit is limited below 100 T/yr of any regulated pollutant. The SIC code is 2099.

## 7. PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Permit Condition 2.10. Specifies monitoring and recordkeeping of fuel consumption monthly and annually.

Permit Condition 2.11. Specifies NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions reporting be submitted on or before January 31 and July 31 of each year.

## 8. AIRS INFORMATION

This permitting action does not affect the facility-wide pollutant information contained in the AIRS database. Please refer to the May 22, 2002 technical memorandum for the current AIRS information.

## 9. FEES

This facility is not a major facility as defined by IDAPA 58.01.01.008.10; therefore, registration and registration fees, in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.387, do not apply. In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.407, no Tier II operating permit processing fees are required for this permitting action.

## 10. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the application materials, and all applicable state and federal regulations, staff recommends the Department issue revised Tier II Operating Permit No. 083-00062 to Lamb Weston, Inc.

BR/HE/sm T2-020425 G:\AIR QUALITY\STATIONARY SOURCE\SS LTD\T2\LAMB WESTON\T2-020425 FINAL TM.DOC

## **APPENDIX**

Lamb Weston, Inc., Twin Falls

Tier II Operating Permit No. T2-020425

March 5, 2003



## AIR QUALITY PERMITTING TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Tier II Operating Permit No. 083-00062

LAMB WESTON, INC. TWIN FALLS, IDAHO

Prepared by:

Stephen Coe Permit Writer

Project No. T2-010431 May 22, 2002

**FINAL PERMIT** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS	3
PURPOSE	4
PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4
SUMMARY OF EVENTS	4
DISCUSSION	4
FEES	
RECOMMENDATIONS	

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACFM Actual Cubic Feet Per Minute
AFS AIRS Facility Subsystem

AIRS Aerometric Information Retrieval System

AQCR Air Quality Control Region

BACT Best Available Control Technology
CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CO Carbon Monoxide

DEQ Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

dscf Dry Standard Cubic Feet

EF Emission Factor

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

gpm Gallons Per Minute gr Grain (1 lb = 7,000 grains) HAPs Hazardous Air Pollutants

IC Integrated Chip

IDAPA Idaho Administrative Procedures Act

km Kilometer ib/hr Pound Per Hour

MACT Maximum Available Control Technology

MMBtu Million British thermal units

NESHAP Nation Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NO<sub>2</sub> Nitrogen Dioxide NO<sub>X</sub> Nitrogen Oxides

NSPS New Source Performance Standards

O<sub>3</sub> Ozone

OP Operating Permit PM Particulate Matter

PM<sub>10</sub> Particulate Matter with an Aerodynamic Diameter of 10 Micrometers or Less

ppm Parts Per Million

PSD Prevention of Significant Deterioration

PTC Permit To Construct
PTE Potential To Emit

SCC Source Classification Code scf Standard Cubic Feet SIP State Implementation Plan

SO<sub>2</sub> Sulfur Dioxide

TSP Total Suspended Particulates

T/yr Tons Per Year µm Micrometers

VOC Volatile Organic Compound

## **PURPOSE**

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01, Section 404.04 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) for Tier II operating permits. This technical memorandum serves as an addition to the technical memorandum dated June 16, 2000, included in Appendix C.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Lamb Weston is proposing to install a water heater, which will utilize the waste gas from an anaerobic digester, at its Twin Falls facility (856 Russet Street, Twin Falls). Lamb Weston is also proposing to install the capability to burn both 0.05% sulfur diesel and cooking oil as backup fuels in its boilers. Lamb Weston would like to remove the New Source Performance Standards size restriction that limits boiler No. 1 below 100 million British thermal units (MMBtu) per hour and rate the boiler at design specifications. Lamb Weston is proposing to operate the facility on an ongoing basis.

Lamb Weston requested the water heater portion of the application be processed expeditiously as an energy project consistent with Governor Kempthorne's Directive 2001-02, dated February 22, 2001. The directive instructs the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to expedite review of applications for energy generation projects.

## SUMMARY OF EVENTS

On September 27, 2001, DEQ received an application from Lamb Weston for installation of a water heater, which will burn biogas from an anaerobic digester. On October 26, 2001, the application was determined complete. On November 9, 2001, the consent order for the installation of a water heater utilizing waste biogas was signed on December 18, 2002, the draft permit was issued to the facility on January 7, 2002, the facility responded on February 19, 2002, the proposed permit was issued for public comment on April 2, 2002, the public comment period ended on May 1, 2002, the only comments received were from the facility.

## DISCUSSION

## 1. Equipment Listing

The installation will include an American Heating Company water heater, model number AHC-1500, with a rated heat input capacity of 19 MMBtu/hr. The installation of the equipment necessary to burn both 0.05% sulfur diesel and cooking oil as backup fuels in boilers No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3. Boiler No. 1 is currently restricted below 100 MMBtu heat input per hour; the rated capacity of boiler No. 1 is 180 MMBtu heat input per hour.

## 2. Emission Estimates

The applicant provided emissions from the facility using emissions estimated from an analysis of the actual biogas and AP-42 values. The emissions in Table 1 are expected if the facility operates at maximum capacity for 8,760 hours per year (i.e., at the potential to emit). Emission calculations are provided in Appendix A.

Table 1. Potential Facility Emissions.

	Emissi	on Rate
Pollutant	lb/hr ·	A Thir
VOCs <sup>3</sup> (as Total HC <sup>4</sup> )	2.6	6,1
CO*	31	.54
NO <sub>2</sub> *	62	99
PM <sub>10</sub>	6.3	11
SO <sub>2</sub> *	36	99

Pounds per hour

## 3. Modeling

The applicant modeled emissions using ISCST3 Version 00101 and regulatory default options. Surface meteorological data for Pocatello with mixing height data for Boise from the SCRAM Web site was used for the modeling. Pocatello surfaces data and Boise mixing height data for 1987–1991 was used because it is the most recent and applicable data available.

Estimated concentrations from the proposed project were combined with background concentrations to determine the total ambient concentrations for each pollutant. When running all sources at the facility at maximum capacity, modeling predicts none of the criteria pollutants will exceed their respective ambient air quality standards. In addition, toxic air pollutants from the facility will not exceed any Acceptable Ambient Concentration (AAC). Therefore, the project is expected to be in compliance with all ambient air quality standards. Modeling results are provided in Appendix B.

## 4. Facility Classification

This facility is a potato product manufacturer, Standard Industrial Classification code 2099. Per IDAPA 58.01.01.006.55 a major facility is any facility, which emits, or has the potential to emit, 100 T/yr or more of any regulated air pollutant. This facility is adopting production/operating restrictions, which keeps the facility below the major facility threshold. The facility is not a major facility and is considered a synthetic minor facility since it chooses to stay under the major threshold.

## 5. Area Classification

Twin Falls is located in Twin Falls County, Air Quality Control Region 63, UTM Zone 11. Twin Falls County is designated as unclassifiable for all criteria air pollutants.

## 6. Regulatory Review

## IDAPA 58.01.01.201 Permit to Construct Required

A permit to construct will be required for this source. The Tier II operating permit will contain PTC requirements. A PTC will not be specifically issued, since the Tier II permit will address all PTC issues.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.210 Demonstration of Preconstruction Compliance with Toxic Standards

Toxic emissions were estimated by the applicant using AP-42 or biogas analysis emission factors. The toxic emissions do not exceed their AACs in IDAPA 58.01.01.586.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tons per year

Volatile organic compounds

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Hydrocarbons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Carbon monoxide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Nitrogen oxides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Particulate matter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Sulfur dioxide

## IDAPA 58.01.01.401 Tier II Operating Permit

The use of a potential to emit limitation to exempt the facility from Tier I permitting requirements is authorized.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.403 Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources

Tier II sources must comply with all applicable local, state, or federal emission standards. The source will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01(c) Opportunity for Public Comment

An opportunity for public comment shall be provided on Tier II operating permits. Since there is an increase in emissions a public comment period is required.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.404.04 Authority to Revise or Renew Operating Permits

The director may approve a revision of any Tier II operating permit or renewal of any Tier II operating permit provided the stationary source or facility continues to meet all applicable requirements of Sections 400 through 406.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.406 Obligation to Comply

Receiving a Tier II operating permit shall not relieve any owner or operator of the responsibility to comply with all applicable local, state, and federal rules and regulations.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.470 Permit Application Fees for Tier II Permits

Any person applying for a Tier II permit shall pay permit application fees of \$500 for each permit requested or amended.

## IDAPA 58.01,01,577 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Specific Air Pollutants

Emissions of pollutants listed in IDAPA 58.01.01.577 were shown to be in compliance with the ambient air quality standards. See Appendix B.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.625 Visible Emission Limitation

A person shall not discharge any air pollutant into the atmosphere from any point of emission for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any 60-minute period which is greater than 20% opacity.

## IDAPA 58.01.01.650 General Rules for the Control of Fugitive Dust

All reasonable precautions shall be taken to prevent the generation of fugitive dust.

## 40 CFR 60 New Source Performance Standards

40 CFR 60 Subpart Db, Standards of Performance for Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units, states: "The affected facility to which this subpart applies is each steam generating unit that commences construction, modification or reconstruction after June 19, 1984, and that has a heat input capacity from fuels combusted in the steam generating unit of greater than 29 MW (100 million Btu/hour)." Boiler No. 1 was constructed in 1989 and the rated capacity is 180 MMBtu heat input per hour. New Source Performance Standards contained in 40 CFR 60 are applicable for boiler No. 1.

## 40 CFR 61 and 63 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Maximum Achievable Control Technology

No subparts of 40 CFR 61 or 63 are applicable.

## 7. Permit Requirements

## 7.1 Emission Limits

Emission limits on specific air pollutants are set at the potential to emit as show in Table II below.

Table 2. Potential Facility Emissions.

I CONTRACT   Commercial and control		
	/ / 40 #h	- Emission Rate
	lb/hr	LE DANGER LINES THE
VOCs <sup>3</sup> (as Total HC <sup>5</sup> )	2.6	6.1
CO*	31	54
NO <sub>2</sub> °	62	99
PM <sub>10</sub>	6.3	11
SO <sub>2</sub> °	36	99

Pounds per hour

## 7.2 Operating Requirements

Combined  $NO_x$  emissions from the entire facility shall not exceed 99 tons/year. The  $NO_x$  emissions shall be calculated monthly for the previous 12 months. The  $NO_x$  calculations shall be made using the following table:

Table 3. NO. Emissions Calulations

Source Source	months)	Emission Factor	Emissions
Boller 1 Natural Gas	MMCF x	83.73 lb/MMCF =	Lbs
Rest of Plant Natural Gas	MMCF x	100 lb/MMCF =	Lbs
Waste Gas	MMCF x	100 lb/MMCF =	Lbs
Total Diesel	Gallons x	20 lb/1000 gal ==	Lbs
Total Vegetable Oil	Gallons x	25 lb/1000 gal ==	Lbs
<b>7</b>			Lbs
Total ==			Tons

Combined SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the entire facility shall not exceed 99 tons/year. The SO<sub>2</sub> emissions shall be calculated monthly for the previous 12 months. The SO<sub>2</sub> calculations shall be made using the following table:

Table 4. SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions Calulations

State Source	Fuel Usage (previous 12 months)	Emission Factor	Emissions
Boller 1 Natural Gas	MMCF x	0.6 lb/MMCF =	Lbs
Rest of Plant Natural Gas	MMCF x	0.6 lb/MMCF =	L.bs
Waste Gas	MMCF x	1015 lb/MMCF =	Lbs
Total Diesel	Gallons x	7.1 lb/1000 gal =	Lba
Total Vegetable Oli	Gallons x	0.11 lb/1000 gal =	Lbs
T			Lbs
Total =			Tons

Waste gas emission factor needs to be assured. 1,015 lb/MMCF is based on an H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of 6,100 ppm by volume.

## 8. Permit Coordination

Currently, Lamb Weston operates one other permitted facility within the state of Idaho, located in American Falls.

<sup>\*</sup>Tons per year

Volatile organic compounds

**Hydrocarbons** 

<sup>\*</sup>Carbon monoxide

<sup>\*</sup>Nitrogen oxides

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Particulate matter

Sulfur dioxide

## 9. Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) Information

## AIRS/AFS FACILITY-WIDE CLASSIFICATION DATA ENTRY FORM

AIR PROGRAM	SIP <sup>3</sup>	PŞD	NSPS <sup>4</sup> (Part 60)	NESHAP <sup>4</sup> (Part 61)	MACT <sup>4</sup> (Part 63)	TITLE V	AREA CLASSIFICATION A Attainment U Unclassifiable N Nonattainment
SO <sub>2</sub> 7	SM						U
Nox*	SM <sup>*</sup>					. "	U
co,	В						U
PM <sub>10</sub> 10	В						U
PT <sup>11</sup>	В				•		U
VOC <sup>12</sup>	8						U
THAP <sup>13</sup>							
	· .		APPLI	CABLE SUB	PART		
			DЬ				

## <sup>1</sup>AIRS/AFS CLASSIFICATION CODES:

- A = Actual or potential emissions of a pollutant are above the applicable major source threshold. For NESHAP only, class "A" is applied to each pollutant which is below the 10 ton-per-year (T/yr) threshold, but which contributes to a plant total in excess of 25 T/yr of all NESHAP pollutants.
- SM \* Potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable regulations or limitations.
- B = Actual and potential emissions below all applicable major source thresholds.
- C . Class is unknown.
- ND = Major source thresholds are not defined (e.g., radionuclides).
- <sup>2</sup>State Implementation Plan
- <sup>3</sup>Prevention of Significant Deterioration
- <sup>4</sup>New Source Performance Standards
- National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
- <sup>6</sup>Maximum Achievable Control Technology
- <sup>7</sup>Sulfur Dioxide
- <sup>8</sup>Nitrogen Oxides
- \*Carbon Monoxide
- <sup>10</sup>Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to ten micrometers
- <sup>11</sup>Particulate
- 12 Voiatile Organic Compounds
- 13 Total Hazardous Air Pollutants

## **FEES**

Fees apply to this facility in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.470. The facility is subject to permit application fees for this revised Tier II operating permit of \$500.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the application materials, and all applicable state and federal regulations, staff recommends that DEQ issue a final Tier II operating permit to Lamb Weston. An opportunity for public comment on the air quality aspects of the proposed operating permit was provided in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.404.01.c. Lamb Weston has paid the required Tier II application fee of \$500.

## APPENDIX A Lamb Weston, Twin Falls Emission Calculations

## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Waste Gas and Maximum Natural Gas Calculations

Netwel Gas Broff\* + 1,020

Waste Gas Bluft' - 840

**-			-	
FV	ш	ref	ш	ж

	Maximum					N	Revel Gas				
Component	WTU Heat	Full Low	d Capacity		Maximum Natural Gae per Year						
	Imput/hr	MMCF/hr	MMCFAN	Blufir	MMCF/fyr	MMCFAY					
BOILER No. 1	180,000,000	0.1765	1,545.88		414101.114		Decis				
BOILER No. 2	72,000,000	0.0706	616,35			1,545.88	Processed Capacity				
BOILER No.3	36,000,000			ł I		230.52	Plantaining natural gas that can be hurned and not exceed 99 tonary INC. This gas				
HEATERS & BURNERS		9.0353	309,18	<u> </u>		l	could be burned in any fuel burning equipment.				
	100,800,000	0.1067	934.40	i l		75.00	Tier it Pennit				
LINE I DRYER	36,000,000	9.0353	309,18			64,94	Tier it Pennsk				
LINE 2 PRE DRYER	4,000,000	0.0039	34,35	ļ							
LINE 4 DRIYER	27,500,000	0.0270	236,1B	•		14,12	Tler II Permit				
SPECIAL PRODUCTS DRYER	2,000,000					91.76	Tler it Permit				
WASTE GAS HEATER	1 ' '	0.0020	17,16			14,82	Tier # Permit				
THOIL GIGHTEN	19,000,000 Totel	0.0188	163,18	2,000,000	0.0020	17.18	Flamaining natural gas capacity when waste gas is burned at capacity				
	0.457	4,004.69		-	2.064.23	The state of the s					
Tot	nf without Boller 1	0.299	2621,988			508.35					
Yole without Bollers and Y	Veste Gas Heater	0,175	1,531,28			1					
Veste Ges		0,170	7,057,20	L		250.65	<u>.</u>				

WASTE GAS HEATER 17,000,000 Waste Gas Capacity 177,29

**Emission Factors** 

(AP-42 Emission Factors are the most current.)

Waste Gas sampling performed on 2/21/01 showed an everage FLS concentration of \$100 ppm by volume. This was convented to RVM/ARCF by MAV/385.1 × \$100 x (34.08/385.1) = \$40 SAMACF HyS.

It is assumed that all the H,S is convented to SO<sub>2</sub>. The MW ratio of SO<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>S is 1.88 (64.06/34.08). S40 IDMMCF x 1.88 = 1015 IDMMCF SO<sub>2</sub>.

		·······				
		PU.,	so,	EO	NO.	VOC
Source	BAMACE	<b>BANKICF</b>	BAMACE	<b>DARKCE</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BANACE
Analysis & AP-42	7.6	7.6	1015	64		8.5
	AP-42	AP-42	Analysis	AP-42	AP-42	AP-42
Test & AP-42	7,6	7.6	0.8	33.2	63.73	5.5
<u>_</u>	AP-42	AP-42	AP-42	Source Test	Source Test	AP-42
AP-42	7.6	7.6	0.6	54	100 \	5.5
	Source Analysia & AP-42 Yeat & AP-42 AP-42	Analysis & AP-42 7.6 AP-42 7.6 AP-42 7.6 AP-42	Source         B/ARACF         B/ARACF           Analysis 8 AP-42         7.6         7.6           AP-42         AP-42         AP-42           Test 8 AP-42         7.6         7.6           AP-42         AP-42         AP-42	Source   ByMMACF   ByMMACF   ByMMACF	Source         By/MACF         By/MACF <th< th=""><th>  Source   BythmacF   BythmacF  </th></th<>	Source   BythmacF   BythmacF

The 20.54 fb/hr SO, estimate for waste gas is greater than the maximum 18.5 fb/hr emission estimate shown in the City of Twin Falls Waste Gas Flare Permit to Construct Application

		PM PM <sub>m</sub>		1	SO, G		Ö	· NO <sub>1</sub>		VOC		
	lb/hr	torvyr	Юлн	konlyr	ID/W	tonye	Ht/frr	torvyr	\$1471#	torv/yr	R)/fts	lorvy
Boller 1	1,34	5.87	1.34	5.67	0,11	0.46	5.86	25.66	14,78	64.72	0.97	4.25
Scēer 2	0.54	0.68	0.54	0.88	0.04	0.07	5.93	9.68	7.06	11.63	0.39	0.63
Soller 3	0.27		0.27		0.02		2.96		3.53		0.19	1
Waste Gas (Waste Gas)	0.15	0.67	0.15	0.67	20.54	69.95	1,70	7.45	2.02	8.86	0,11	0.49
Waste Gas (Natural Gas)	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.001	0.01	0.16	0.72	0.20	0.86	0.01	0.05
Rest of Plant Netural Gas	1.33	0.99	1.33	C.99	0.10	0.05	14.68	10,95	17.48	13.03	0.95	0.72
Ta	ali 3.64	8.48	3.64	8.46	20.61	90.56	31.30	54,46	45.06	99.00	2.64	6.14

		SO, Galculations		NO <sub>s</sub> Calcutellone			
		Emission Factor	Endagkang		Emission Factor	Emissions	
Boller 1 Natural Gas for Previous 12 Months	1,545.88 MMCF x	0.6 TYMACF =	926 ths	1,545.88 NAAGE ±	83.73 IBAMACE G	129,437 Rhs	
Rest of Plant Natural Gas for Previous 12 Months .	508.35 MMCF x	0.6 HAWACE =	305 hs	508.35 MMCF x	100 HANGE *	50,835 Hrs	
Waste Gas for Previous 12 Months	177.28 MMCF x	1013 NAMEF =	179,891 hs	177,29 MACF x	100 ISAMCF =	17,729 #xs	
Diesel Used ky Previous 12 Months	Gallions x	7.1 RV1000 gel =	that	Ga#crat ×	20 fb/1000 gal +	hs	
Cooking Of Used for Previous 12 Months	Gullions x	0.11 By1000 gel *	1bs	Gallons g	25 fb/1000 gef -	R <sub>M</sub>	
		Total •	181,123 hs		Total -	198,000 lbs	
	1	, com. a	. 90,56 lone	1	*****	99.00 tons	

į tr,

## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Diesel Calculations

Proposed Permit Calculations

······································		SO, Calculations			NO, Calculations	
		Emission Factor	Emiastona	<b></b> 1	Emission Factor	Emissions
Boller 1 Natural Gas for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	0.6 6/MMCF #	0 lbs	0.00 MMCF x	83.73 Ib/MMCF *	0 tos
Natural Gas for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	0.8 MMCF *	0 hs	. 0.00 MMCF x	100 IbMMCF w	0 hs
Waste Gas for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	1015 M/M/CF #	0 lbs	0.00 MMCF x	100 Ib/MMCF #	0 tos
Diesel Used for Previous 12 Months	9,900,000 Ga#ons x	7,1 %/1000 gel w	70,290 lbs	9,900,000 Gallons x	20 fb/1000 gal =	198,000 lbs
Cooking Oil Used for Previous 12 Months	Gellons x	0.11 lb/1000 pal =	O Pos	Gallona x	25 fb/1000 gai =	Ohe
			70,290 lbs			198,000 fbs
	1	Total =	35,15 tons		Total =	99.00 lons

			Die	901	
		Full Load	Capacity	Boller Maximum	Plant Maximum
	Maximum Blu Heat Input/hr	1000 galihe	1000 gsVyr	1000 gal/yr	1000 gaVyr
Boller 1	190,000,000	1.31	11,509	9,900	
Boller 2	72,000,000	0.53	4,604	4,804	
Boller 3	36,000,000	0.26	2,302	2,302	
Total	288,000,000	2,102	18,415		9,900

Diesel Stu/gal + 137,000

100

	Emission Factors		(AP-42 Emission Factors are m	e most chaseuri				VOC	tı
		***************************************	54.1	PM	SO,	CO	NOv	l \$	•
1	. [		F 701		#b/1000 gel	%/1000 gal	lb/1000 gel	%/1000 gel	
-		% Sulfur	16/1000 gal	fb/1000 gal	82 FOOD DES	201000	20	0.2	
1	Rollers	0.05	3.3	2.3	7.1	5	ξυ		

Maximum Plant Er	nissions Burning 🛚	inly Diesel in U	OHER I, Z & J					··········		1 Le	3	VC	ν:
		Pi		P	M <sub>m</sub>		iO <sub>z</sub>	<u> </u>	:O ·	<u> N</u> K	<i>7</i> x		
	1		······			B√lvr	torvvr	Ib/ly	torv/yr	Ryfre -	toinlyr	ts/tvr	lor/yr
1	Fuel	fo/for	torvyr	16/hr	ionyr			10.51	24,75	42,04	99.00	0.42	0.99
Bollers	Clesei	6.94	16.34	4,84	11.39	14.93	35.15	1 ""		1	0,00	0,11	0.00
1 " ' '		0.15	0.00	0.15	0.00	20.54	0.00	1.70	0.00.	2.02			0.00
Waste Heater	Waste Gas		1		0.00	0.10	0.00	14.68	0.00	17,48	0.00	0.96	1
Rest of Plant	Natural Ges	1.33	0.00	1,33				<u> </u>	24.75	61.55	99.00	1,49	0.99
<b></b>		A 40	10 24	8.32	11.30	35.57	35.15	26.89	64./0	1 4122	1 22,00	<u> </u>	

	Maximum Emissions per Boller Burn				<u>,</u>	1 9	o. I	C	ю.	NC NC	) <sub>x</sub> /	voc	4
1		P		PI		b/hr	loryyr	15/hr	tonyyr	fb/hr	/ torvýr /	Ib/hv ton/yr	4
ļ		b/tw	ton/yr	b/w .	ton/yr	9.33	35.15	8.57	24.75	26.26	99.00 /	0.26 0.99	1
1	Boller 1	4,34	16.34	3.02	11,39	1	3	2.63	11,51	10.51	48.04	0.11 0.45	1
	Boller 2	1.73	7,60	1.21	5.29	3.73	16,34	ŧ	5.75	5.26	23.02	0.05 0.23	Ĺ
	********	0.87	380	0.60	2.65	1.87	5.17	1.31	0.73	2,20		<u> </u>	,

## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Cooking Oil Calculations

## Proposed Permit Calculations

		SO, Calculations			NO, Calculations	
offer 1 Natural Gas for Previous 12 Months		Emission Factor	Emissions	747	Emission Factor	Emissions
Hurst Gas for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	0.6 MMCF #	0 lbs	0.00 MMCF x	83,73 MMCF =	
Bate Gas for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	0.6 BANKEF =	0 %s	0.00 MMCF x	100 MANGE =	O bs
Ban Used for Previous 12 Months	0.00 MMCF x	1015 MAMCF =	0 hs	0.00 MMCF x	100 MMMCF =	0 %
ooking Oil Used for Previous 12 Months	0 Gañona x	7.1 fb/1000 gal =	O Rys	0 Gallons x		O for
On Osea of Friends 12 Moriers	7,920,000 Gallons x	0.11 lb/1000 gat #	871 lbs	7,920,000 Gallons x	20 %/1000 gai = 25 %/1000 gai =	Olos
·		Total *	871 lbs		25 WIGO GBI #	198,000 108
		1 Cruit **	0.44 tons	<u>-</u>	Yotal	198,000 to

### Calculation of Actual Emissions

			Dke	**	
		Full Load	Capacity	Boller Maximum	Plant Maximum
	Maximum Blu			······································	
	Heat input/hr	1000 geVhr	1000 gal/yr	1000 gallyr	1000 gal/yr
Boller 1	180,000,000	1,38	12,129	7,920	
Boller 2	72,000,000	0.55	4.652	4.852	
Boller 3	36,000,000	0.28	2,426	2,428	
Total	288,000,000	2.215	19,407	,	7,920

Cooking Off Blu/gel = 130,000

## **Emission Factors**

		PM	PM <sub>ic</sub>	so,	CO	NO <sub>x</sub>	voc
	Source	Rs/1000 gali	lb/1000 gal	7b/1000 guil	tb/1000 gail	. B/1000 gel	fb/1000 gat
Bollers	Test & AP-42	1.69	1,69	0.11	5	25	0.13

		P		20	V.	1	0,					r	
	į.	<del>1" 1</del>	*	7.	V:10		<u> </u>	C	Ų	N(	- <u>y</u>	<u> </u>	OC
	Fuel	My.	ton/yr	#b/fvr	lonlyr	to/he	l torvyr	To/Avr	torvyr	No/ter	konżyr	#p/frer	tarvyr
Bollers	Gooking Off	3.74	6.69	3.74	6.69	0.24	0.44	11.06	19,60	55.38	99.00	0.29	0,51
Waste Gas Heater	Waste Gas	0,15	0,00	0.15	0.00	20,54	0.00	1.70	0.00	2.02	0.00	0.11	0.00
Plest of Plant	Natural Gas	1.33	0,00	1,33	0.00	0.10	0.00	14,68	0.00	17,48	0.00	0.96	0.00
	Total Fuel Burning	5.23	6.69	5.23	6.69	20.86	0.44	27.46	19.80	.74.89	99.00	1.36	0.51

	faximum Emissions per Boiler Burr	ing Only Cookt	ng Off										
Г		PI	A	PM <sub>to</sub>		SO <sub>2</sub>		CO		NOX		voc	
ı		ts/tw	lorvyr	lb/lw	tontyr	ib/tvr	tors/yr	B)/tw	torvyr	lb/hr	tonlyr	lb/iw	tonyyr
ľ	Boller 1	2,34	8.69	2.34	6.69	0.15	0.44	6.92	19.80	34,62	99.00	0,18	0.51
ı	Boller 2	0,94	4,10	0.94	4,10	0.06	0.27	2.77	12.13	13.85	80,85	0.07	0.32
ı	Boller 3	0,47	2.05	0.47	2.05	0.03	0,13	1.38	6.06	6.92	30,32	0.04	0,16





## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Boller 2 Toxic Air Pollutants

## Dissel

Reference: AP-42 Section 1.3 (9/96) Emission Factors for Industrial Bollers Burning Distillate Oil

Hout Imput

72 10" Blufty

Pollutant		Elor	Emission Rate	EL	Greater Than	Toyle	Annual Average	Modeled		Greater
Arsenic Benzene Berytkyn	8/10" Gal 2,14E-04	8/10" thu 4,00E-06 1,56E-08	85/hr 2.88E-04 1.12E-04	1.50E-06	EL Yes	Cleas	Emissions fo/hr 2.88E-04	Impact ug/m3 1,54E-04	AACC 99/m3 2.30E-04	Then AACC No
Cadmium Chromium VI (Est. from No. 8 Of Relio) Chromium II 8 III (Est. from No. 6 Of Relio)	,	3.00E-08 3.00E-08 8.80E-07	2.18E-04 2.18E-04 5.34E-05	8.00E-04 2.80E-05 3.70E-08 5.80E-07	No Yes Yes Yes	*	2.16E-04 2.16E-04	2.10E-05 2.10E-05	4.20E-03 5.60E-04	No No
Eltrylebenzene Formeldetryde Mangenese	6.36E-05 6.10E-02	2.12E-06 4.84E-07 4.45E-04	1.53E-04 3.34E-05 3.21E-02	0.033 29 5.10E-04	No No Yes	8	8.34E-05 3.21E-02	6.18E-06	6,30E-04	No
Mercury Nephthelene Nickel	1.136-03	6.00E-06 3.00E-06 8.25E-06	4,32E-04 2,16E-04 5,94E-04	0.967 0.003 3.33	No No No	8 8	0.E.1E.402	3.11E-03	7.79E-02	No.
Taluene 1,1,1 Trichioroethene (Methyl chlorolom) o-Xylene	6.20E-03 2.36E-04 1.09E-04	3.00E-06 4.53E-05 1.72E-06	2.16E-04 3.26E-03 1.24E-04	2.70E-05 25 127	Yes No No	8	2.18E-04	2.10E-05	4.20E-03	No
Polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) PAH Emission Factor is the sum of the folior Benzis smitracers	1175 AF	7.96E-07 8.53E-08	5.73E-05 6.14E-05	29 9.10E-05	No No	13 A		,		
Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene Chrysene	4.01E-06 1.48E-06 2.38E-06		<u>,</u>	· 19,19,190						
Olbenzo(s,h)enthrecene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.87E-08 2.14E-08	•		·						

## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Boller 1 Toxic Air Poliutants

## Natural Gas

Reference; AP-42 section 1.4 (3/96) Emission Factors for Industrial Bollers Burning Natural Gas

Heat Input Natural Gas 180.00 10° Bhufur 1,020 Bhufcf

		ctor	Emission Pale	EL.	Greater Than	Toxic	Annual Average Emissions	Modeled Impact	AACC	Greater
Pollutant	10/10' CF	fb/10" 8 tu	1 Mo/hr	No/fer	EL	Class	To/hr	erg/mi3	1	Than
Arsenic	0.0002	1.98E-07	3.53E-05	1.50E-06	Yes	A	3.53E-05	2.72E-05	ug/m3 2,30E-04	AACC
Barlum	4.40E-03	4.31E-08	7.76E-04	3.30E-02	No	B	0.5502-05	2.726-03	2,308-04	No
Benzene	0,0021	2.06E-06	3.71E-04	8.00E-04	No	Ä		,		ļ
Beryllium	0.000012	1.18E-08	2.12E-06	2.80E-05	No	À				
Cachmhan	0.0011	1.08E-06	1.94E-04	3.70E-08	Yes	<b>A</b>	1,94E-04	1,49E-04	5.80E-04	
Chromium .	0.0014	1.37E-06	2,47E-04	0.000	Yes	Ä	2.47E-04	1.90E-04	8.30E-04	No No
Chromken II & III	İ			0	No	"	#.~! E-04	1.000	0.3\/C-\/4	140
Cobeit	8.40E-05	8.24E-08	1.48E-05	3.30E-03	No	В				
Соррег	0.00065	8.33E-07	1.50E-04	0.013	No	В				ł
- crmaidehyde	0.075	7.35E-05	1.32E-02	0.001	Yes	Å	1.32E-02	1.02E-02	7.70E-02	No
fexane (n,hexane)	1.80E+00	1.76E-03	3.18E-01	12.00	No	. 6	1,345,732	1,025-02	7.705-02	190
danganese	3.80E-04	3.73E-07	6.71E-05	0	No	B				[
Aercury	2.60E-04	2.55E-07	4.59E-05	o	No	8		1.		
Aolybdenum	1.10E-03	1.08E-08	1.94E-04	1 ;	No	B		1	·	1
Vaphthelene	6.10E-04	5.98E-07	1.08E-04	3.33E+00	No	8				
Vickel	2.10E-03	2.08E-06	3.71E-04	2.70E-05	Yes	A	3.71E-04			
<b>VO</b>	2.100-00	3.80E-02	6.48E+00	6	Yes	8	3.712-04	2.85E-04	4.20E-03	No
Pentane	2.60E+00	2.55E-03	4.59E-01	118	1 '			[		
Phosphorus	2.10E-03	2.06E-06	3.71E-04	<b>.</b>	No	8				· ·
Salenkum	2.40E-05	2.35E-08	f	0.007	No No	B	į		ŀ	
Coluene .	1		4.24E-06	0.013		8	ļ	]	1	
vanadken	3.40E-03	3.33E-06	6.00E-04	25	No	8				
	2.30E-03	2.25E-06	4.06E-04	0.003	No	В				ł
Polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)	1.14E-05	1.12E-08	2.01E-08	9.10E-05	No Table	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	i	<u> </u>
PAH Emission Factor is the sum of the foli			DE WAR (UAP) }	1,50.07,U1.50	OD 1809					
Benz(s)anthracene	1,80€-06	1.76E-09	1							
Benzo(s)pyrene	1.20E-06	1.18E-08						_		
Benzo(b)fluorenthene	1.80E-06	1:76E-09	1							
Benzo(k)fkioranthene	1.80E-06	1.78E-09	1							
Chrysene	1,80E-06	1.76E-09		•						
Dibenzo(s,h)unifirecene	1.20E-06	1.18E-09	1							
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrane	1.80E-06	1.76E-09	l							



## Lemb-Weston, Twin Falls Boller 1 Toxic Air Pollutants

Diesel

Reference: AP-42 Section 1.3 (9/96) Emission Factors for Industrial Bollers Burning Distillate OR

Heat tracks

180 10" Bluthe

Pollutant	Factor .		Emission Pate	EL	Greater		Annual Average	Modeled		Grester
Arsenic	10/10° GH	Morror Bitu	lis/tw	fb/hr	Than EL	Toxic Class	Emissions form	impact tights	AACC Up/m3	Then
Senzene	2.14E-04	4.00E-06 1.56E-08	7.206-04	1.50E-06	Yes	A	7.20E-04	6.99E-05	2.30E-04	AACC No
Beryllium	4.1.4		2.81E-04	8.00E-04	No				eme-ou	LAD
Catimium		3.00E-08	5.40E-04	2.80E-05	Yes		5.40E-04	5.25E-05	4,20E-03	No
Chromium VI (Est, from No. 5 Of Ratio)		3.00E-08 8.80E-07	5.40E-04	3.70E-06	Yes		5.40E-04	5.25E-05	5.60E-04	No.
WALLEST AND THE PARTY OF THE PA		2.12E-08	1.58E-04	5.80E-07	Yes		1.58E-04	1.54E-05	8.30E-04	No No
ENIAGOSUSSIVS	6.36E-05	4.84E-07	3.82E-04	0.033	No	8	]		0.002-04	140
Formeldehyde	6.10E-02	4.45E-04	8.36E-05	29	No	8				
Manpanese	φ.10t,ug		8.01E-02	5.10E-04	Yes		5.01E-02	7.79E-03	7.70E-02	No
Mercury		6.00E-06 3.00E-06	1.08E-03	0.087	No	8	}	1	777 042	190
Naphtheisene	1.13E-03	8.25E-06	5.40E-04	6.003	No	8	1	1 1	'	
Michael	***************************************		1.48E-03	3.33	No	В		<b>!</b> !		,
Tokuene	5.20E-03	3.00E-08 4.53E-05	5.40E-04	2,705-05	Yes		5.40E-04	5.25E-05	4.20E-03	No
1,1,1 Trichloroethene (Methyl chloroform)	2.36E-04	*******	8.15E-03	25	No	8	}			
D-Xylene	1.09E-04	1.72E-06	3.10E-04	127	No	8	ļ	<b>!</b>		
Polyerometic hydrocerbon (PAH)	1.17E-05	7.98E-07	1.43E-04	29	No	8	ł			
PAH Emission Factor is the sum of the follow Benzis\entireces	*********	8.53E-08	1.53E-05	9.10E-05	No		Ĺ	I		
Benzie)entiwacene	4.016-08	ie as meichologis	CO WITH TOAP!	56.01,01,5	66 Table					
Benzo(b,k)#uoranthene	1,48E-06									
Chrysene	2.38E-08		•							
Ditenzo(a,h)antivacene										
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.67E-08									
The relation	2.14E-08	i								

## Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Boiler 3 Toxic Air Poliutants

### Diesel

Reference: AP-42 Section 1.3 (9/98) Emission Factors for Industrial Bollers Burning Distillate Oil

Heat Input

38 10" Blufw

	F#	cler	Emission Rate	EL	Greater Than	Toxic	Annual Average Emissions	Modeled Impact	AACC	Greater Than
Poliutant	15/10' Gal	16/10" Btu	1b/hr	Ho/hr	EL	Class	Ro/hr	09/m3	ug/m3	AACC
Arsenic		4.00E-06	1,44E-04	1.50E-06	Yes	A	1.44E-04	1.89E-04	2.30E-04	No
Benzene	2.14E-04	1.56E-06	5.82E-05	8.00E-04	No	A	- "			1
Bery#kim		3.00E-06	1.08E-04	2,80E-05	Yes	A .	1,08E-04	1.05€-05	4.20E-03	No
Cadmium		3.00E-06	1.095-04	3.70E-06	Yes	A	1.08E-04	1.05E-05	5.80E-04	No
Chromium VI (Est. from No. 8 Oli Ratio)		8.80E-07	3.17E-05	5.60E-07	Yes	A	3.17E-05	3.08E-06	8.30E-04	No
Chromken II & III (Est. from No. 6 Of Ratio)		2.12E-06	7.63E-05	0.033	· No	8		]		1
Ethylebenzene	6.36E-05	4.84E-07	1.67E-05	29	No	8		1		
Formaldehyde	6.10E-02	4,45E-04	1.60E-02	5.10E-04	Yes		1.80E-02	1.58€-03	7.70E-02	No
Menganese		6.00E-06	2.18E-04	0.067	No	8				
Mercury		3.00E-06	1.08E-04	0.003	No	8		1		
Naphthalana	1.13E-03	8.25E-08	2.97E-04	3.33	No	В		]		
Nickel .		3.00E-06	1.08E-04	2.70E-05	Yes	A	1,08E-04	1.05E-05	4.20E-03	No
Toluene	8.20E-03	4.53E-05	1.63E-03	25	No	8	.,	1.555		l '"
1,1,1 Trichioroethane (Methyl chioroform)	2.36E-04	1.72E-06	6.20E-05	127	No	B		•		
o-Xylene	1.09E-04	7.96E-07	2.86E-05	29	No	8		1.		
Polysromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)	1.17E-05	8.53E-08	3.07E-06	9.10E-05	No	Ā				
PAH Emission Factor is the sum of the follow	ving substance		ce with IDAP/		86 Table	·		<b>4</b>		L
Benz(a)anthracene	4.01E-08	i								
Benzo(b,k)fluoranthene	1.48E-06		ţ							•
Chrysene	2.38E-06									
Ofbenzo(s,h)snthracene	1,67E-06	}								
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)cyrene	2.14E-06									



# APPENDIX B Lamb Weston, Twin Falls Modeling

## MODELING REPORT FOR LAMB-WESTON, TWIN FALLS WASTE GAS HEATER ADDITION

### BACKGROUND

The modeling was carried out to demonstrate that the Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Plant does not cause a violation of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard with the addition of the Waste Gas Heater. This demonstration is required by Idaho Administrative Code IDAPA 58.01.01.403.02, Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources, NAAQS. Modeling was performed for the criteria pollutants of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> and for toxic air pollutants from burning diesel in Boilers 1, 2 and 3 and burning natural gas in Boiler 1.

## DISCUSSION OF SOURCE EMISSION INVENTORY

The Twin Falls Lamb-Weston Plant processes potatoes. There are seven product lines producing four different products. Four of the product lines (Lines 1, 2, 4, and special products) produce fried products, two product lines (hashbrown and Line 3) produce hashbrown potatoes, and one product line produces mash potatoes.

With the addition of the waste gas heater, there are 19 fuel burning sources which emit SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> and 29 point sources that emit PM<sub>10</sub>. The sources modeled are listed in the Modeled Source Parameters Table in Attachment A, Pages 1 and 2. The fugitive PM<sub>10</sub> sources of the space heaters, material handling and road emissions were not modeled.

With the exception of the emergency diesels, the modeling used the estimated hourly emissions for each source at its capacity. For NO<sub>X</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> annual modeling, the average hourly emissions were based on 500 hours of emergency diesel operation per year. For PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour modeling, the average hourly emissions were based on 8.5 hours of emergency diesel operations per day.

These emissions were the same as used for the Tier II permit modeling.

For modeling the toxic air pollutants which exceeded the IDAPA 58.01.01.585 and 586 screening emission levels (EL), the emission rate for arsenic from diesel burning was modeled for Boilers 1, 2 and 3 and the emission rate for chromium from natural gas burning was modeled for Boiler 1. The other pollutants which exceeded the EL were calculated by their emission ratio to either arsenic or chromium. Since the capacity on natural gas is not changing for Boilers 2 and 3, analysis for toxic air pollutants burning natural gas was not performed for these boilers. To provide more accurate results, the emission rates were multiplied by 10,000, the model was run and the results were divided by 10,000 and compared with the acceptable ambient concentration (AAC) for each pollutant that exceeded the EL.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE SOURCE'S ENVIRONMENT

The modeled buildings are shown projected on a 1994 aerial photo of the site in Attachment A, Page 3. The modeled emission points are shown on the Modeled Emission Points Drawing in Attachment A, Page 4. The buildings and roof heights used in the modeling are shown on the Modeled Buildings and Roof Heights Drawing in Attachment A, Page 5.

The terrain surrounding the plant is shown on the Sensitive Receptor Location Map in Attachment A, Page 6.

## MODELING METHODOLOGY

The EPA ISCST3, Version 00101, model was used. The model was run using the regulatory default options.

Surface meteorological data for Pocatello with mixing height data for Boise from the EPA SCRAM Website was used for the modeling. Twin Falls is located halfway between Pocatello and Boise. Pocatello surface data and Boise mixing height data for the years 1987-1991 was used because those are the latest years available.

The plant is in a rural area based on the Twin Falls and Filer USGS maps showing less than 50% of the area within 3 kilometers surrounding the plant as being industrial, commercial or compact residential.

The modeling was performed using a 90 meter grid spacing centered on the main plant building. The initial grid array was 2000 meters by 2000 meters. An approximately 30 meter grid spacing was used along the site property lines. Additional points were located at schools, kindergartens, day cares, nursing homes and hospitals within 2.5 miles (4 km) of the plant. The locations of these Sensitive Receptors are shown on the Sensitive Receptor Location Map in Attachment A, Page 6. A grid spacing of 30 meters was used to locate the maximum impacts close to the plant. The grids exclude points within the plant property lines and points which fall within the boundaries of the modeled buildings. All grid points except for the fenceline points correspond to USGS Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data points.

Adjacent buildings modeled included Henningsen Cold Storage, Longview Fibre and The Farm House Collection.

## MODELING RESULTS

Maps showing the results of the modeling runs are included in the attachments. The maps show the peak modeled value for each receptor and the year of the peak value. Input files, output files, the meteorological files and the terrain files are on the CDROM at the end of the report.

The modeling results were added to the background concentrations for Twin Falls which were provided by IDEQ to determine if the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are exceeded. For SO<sub>2</sub> 3-hour and 24-hour averages and PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour average, the second high for each year was used for comparison with the NAAQS. The following tables show the results of the modeling for each year and compare the results with the NAAQS:

## SO<sub>2</sub> Modeling Results

		Annual			24-Hour			3-Hour		
		Background (18.3 ug/m³) plus Model		2nd	Background (120 ug/m³) plus Model			Background (374 ug/m³) plus Model		
	Model	Results	NAAQS	High	Results	NAAQS	High	Results	NAAQS	
Year	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m <sup>8</sup>	
1987	28,2	46.5	80	111.8	231.8	365	569.2	943.2	1300	
1988	28.0	46.3	80	138.0	258.0	365	828.6	1202.6	1300	
1989	25.3	43.6	80	152.8	272.8	365	669.6	1043.6	1300	
1990	25.3	43.6	80	130.8	250.8	365	579.1	953.1	1300	
1991	28.4	46.7	80	145.6	265. <b>6</b>	365	567.6	941.6	1300	

## PM<sub>10</sub> Modeling Results

·		Annual		24-Hour				
		Background			Background			
		(24.1 ug/m³)			(94 ug/m²)			
		plus Model		2nd	plus Model	·		
j	Model	Results	NAAQS	High	Results	NAAQS		
Year	ug/m³	ug/m <sup>a</sup>	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³	ug/m³		
1987	12.1	36.2	50	50.2	144.2	150		
1988	14.6	38.7	50	51.4	145.4	150		
1989	11.3	35.4	50	46.3	140.3	150		
1990	12.6	36.7	50	49.7	143.7	150		
1991	12.7	36.8	50	55.2	149.2	150		

## NO<sub>x</sub> Modeling Results

	Annual						
Year	Model	Background (40 ug/m²) plus Model ug/m³	NAAQS ug/m³				
1987	24.4	64.4	100				
1988	24.1	64.1	100				
1989	21.7	61.7	100				
1990	22.5	62.5	100				
1991	24.5	64.5	100				

The highest 2<sup>nd</sup> high 3-hour average SO<sub>2</sub> result was 828.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in 1988. The location is shown in Attachment B, Page 2. Adding the 3-hour background of 374 µg/m<sup>3</sup> results in an estimated highest 2<sup>nd</sup> high 3-hour SO<sub>2</sub> impact of 1202.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup> which is less than the NAAQS limit of 1300 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.

The highest  $2^{nd}$  high 24-hour average  $SO_2$  result was  $152.8 \mu g/m^3$  in 1989. The location is shown in Attachment B, Page 6. Adding the 24-hour background of  $120 \mu g/m^3$  results in an estimated highest  $2^{nd}$  high 24-hour  $SO_2$  impact of 272.8  $\mu g/m^3$  which is less than the NAAQS limit of 365  $\mu g/m^3$ .

The highest annual average  $SO_2$  result from the modeling was 28.4  $\mu g/m^3$  for 1991. The location is shown in Attachment B, Page 10. Adding the annual background of 18.3  $\mu g/m^3$  results in an estimated maximum annual impact of 46.7  $\mu g/m^3$  which is less than the NAAQS limit of 80  $\mu g/m^3$ .

The highest  $2^{nd}$  high 24-hour average PM<sub>10</sub> result was 55.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in 1991. The location is shown in Attachment C, Page 1. Adding the 24-hour background of 94  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> results in an estimated highest  $2^{nd}$  high 24-hour impact of 149.2  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which is less than the NAAQS limit of 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The highest annual average PM<sub>10</sub> result from the modeling was 14.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for 1988. The location is shown in Attachment C, Page 6. Adding the annual background of 24.1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> results in an estimated maximum annual impact of 38.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which is less than the NAAQS limit of 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The highest annual average NO<sub>X</sub> result from the modeling was 24.5  $\mu$ g/m³ for 1991. The location is shown in Attachment D, Page 2. Adding the annual background NO<sub>X</sub> of 40  $\mu$ g/m³ results in an estimated maximum annual impact of 64.5  $\mu$ g/m³ which is less than the NAAQS limit of 100  $\mu$ g/m³.

Modeling was also performed for sensitive receptors. The results are shown on the table below and the locations are shown on the maps in the attachments.

## Sensitive Receptors

	Maximum ug/m3	Year	Location
SO, 3-Hour	85.63	1991	Magic Valley Alternative School
SO <sub>2</sub> 24-Hour	28.75	1991	Magic Valley Alternative School
SO <sub>2</sub> Annual	6.06	1988	Magic Valley Alternative School
PM <sub>10</sub> 24-Hour	13.47	1989	Magic Valley Alternative School
PM <sub>10</sub> Annual	2.72	1990	Magic Valley Alternative School
NO <sub>x</sub> Annual	5.45	1988	Magic Valley Alternative School

The modeled toxic air pollutant that came closest to the AAC was arsenic for Boiler 3. The modeled impact was 1.89E-04  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which is less than the AAC of 2.3E-04  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

The modeling was carried out to demonstrate that the Lamb-Weston, Twin Falls Plant does not cause a violation of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard with the addition of the waste gas heater. This demonstration is required by Idaho Administrative Code IDAPA 58.01.01.403.02, Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources, NAAQS. The modeling results show that a National Ambient Air Quality Standard will not be exceeded. The 24-hour PM<sub>10</sub> standard of 150  $\mu$ g/m³ is the closest limit approached with a maximum estimated 2<sup>nd</sup> high concentration of 149.2  $\mu$ g/m³ when a background 24-hour concentration of 94  $\mu$ g/m³ is added to the modeling results of 55.2  $\mu$ g/m³.

Modeling was also performed to demonstrate that the toxic air pollutant limits of IDAPA 58,01.01.585 and 586 were not violated by the additional capability of burning diesel fuel in Boilers 1, 2 and 3 and the increase in capacity to burn natural gas in Boiler 1. The results showed that the acceptable ambient concentrations (AAC) will not be exceeded by these additions.